

April 19, 1984

Dear Harold,

Now that my taxes are out of the ~~way~~ way, I can try to catch up on my correspondence. Thanks for all the stuff you have been sending. Here is Epstein's WSJ article, the ~~X~~ cover of the Davison book (which I copied earlier but forgot to send), and a few of my address labels.

I'm also not ordering the few ~~x~~ pages released at the Archives in 1983. I assume I'll get them eventually, and list them in EOC. They don't look particularly interesting. Your note reminded me that I have about \$30 in my deposit account, going back about 5 years. I won't try to get a refund - that may be a lot of trouble - but I'll try to find someone with an active deposit account and transfer the credit to him. (Let me know if you know of someone.)

Thanks for filling me in on your FOIA suit. I tend to lose track of what you have going at any given time. If you or Jim would like to put together a short (one or two paragraph) status report, I would ~~like~~ like to use it in EOC. (Just as a ~~xxx~~ general statement of what progress you are making.)

I've heard no more about Groden's "discovery."

I appreciate your ~~xx~~ comments about Lifton. My own feeling is that some of his evidence of funny business is so suggestive that he shouldn't be required to put together a scenario in detail; further investigation and rebuttal is needed already.

I couldn't find the old memo on Veciana (described in your 3/11/84) in my V. file, and I have no recollection of it. If you happen to come up with a more precise date for when you sent it to me, I could check my correspondence file, but I think I would have ~~xxxxx~~ put in in the Veciana file.

No news from or about the Justice Department status report. Thanks again for passing on the JD letter to Stokes which Ted Gandolfo got ~~xxx~~ and sent you. Nobody else sent ~~xxxx~~ that to me! I guess Gandolfo is good for something.

Your letter of 12/14 on the ~~xxxxx~~ session with the National Enquirer was interesting, and much more detailed ~~xxxx~~ than what I got from Gary Mack. My reaction is that cold feet on this topic don't necessarily correlate with gov't support, so I wouldn't let ~~xxx~~ a gov't connection rule out someone from taking a look at the photo. I don't subscribe to the Enquirer, so I don't know if they have run anything more on the case. (I have talked a couple of times to one of their top research people, Priscilla Badger, who seems quite responsible and is particularly well informed on Cuban matters, which she dealt with in her previous career at Time.)

If there is still any interest, I'm sure I could find someone out here to plow through the book in Russian which they have, and ~~xxxxxx~~ translate the good parts.

With best regards,

Paul

PLH